

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/441,107	11/16/1999	NED HOFFMAN	STA-22	3861	
20575 7.	590 05/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 SW MORRISON STREET			FISCHETTI,	FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
PORTLAND,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3627		
		DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
` Office Action Summary		09/441,107	HOFFMAN, NED			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph A. Fischetti	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of the provision of	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 F</u>	<u>-ebruary 2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8,16,19,22,24,25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,16,19,22,24,25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	ct(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Deer No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3627

Double Patenting

Claims 1,3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6269348, 5870723 and 5838812. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because at least claim 1 of the identified patents can be read on claims 1 and 3 of this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5,6,19,22,24,25,28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5,6,19,22,25 are improperly presented Markush recitations and hence have plural meanings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an

Art Unit: 3627

application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8,16,19, 22, 24, 25, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Merjanian.

Merjanian disclose with respect to the authentication system for use with a computer terminal col. 9 or the example of the welfare recipient of col.11 lines 1-21, which do not involve a smart cart and thus, is "tokenless", and transmits though an interface 40 and thus meets the limitations of: a. a scrip supporter registration step, wherein a scrip supporter registers with an electronic identicator at least one registration biometric sample (see col. 9 lines 31-33, fingerprint data must match predetermined parameters which parameters inherently require the step of registration); b. an electronic scrip transaction proposal step comprising an electronic scrip donator account data(account is read as the user account of the computer) at least one transmittal step, wherein a scrip supporter bid biometric sample is obtained from the scrip supporter's person and is electronically transmitted to the electronic identicator (col. 9 lines 41,42 finger print data is conveyed via interface 40 to data base 24); and d. a scrip supporter identification step, wherein the electronic identicator compares the bid biometric sample with at least one registration biometric sample for producing either a successful or failed identification of the scrip supporter Col. 9, line 33 a match is sought against the two data for the finger prints) wherein upon successful identification of the scrip supporter, a scrip transaction is biometrically authorized, (access to the terminal is thus allowed). The phrase "without the scrip supporter presenting any smartcards or magnetic swipe cards" is met by the example of

Art Unit: 3627

computer login or the example of the welfare recipient of col.11 lines 1-21, but notwithstanding this, the language remains a negative limitation and is not given weight.

Applicant describes "script" as: e.g., actual tender in the form of paper coupons.

Col 10 of Merjanian discloses use in controlling food stamp distribution which is deemed to be inherently actual tender in the form of paper tender.

Re claim 2: the database is also disclosed as part of the local scanner.

Re claim 3, 4, 8: the Medicaid office is read as a clearing house for medical claims/payments. The disclosed matching program using minutiae of the fingerprint characteristics is read as the rule module.

Re claim 5 the PIN is deemed to inherently be an identification code.

RE claim 6: the donator scout is the state and the beneficiary account is the food stamp user.

Re claim 7: interface 40 transmits to an external system.

Re claims 16/24/28: the Medicaid account is read as the donor account and is the scrip service merchant which gets presented the results of the transaction.

Re claim 19/25: food stamp purchase inherently logs date and time information and dollar value.

Re claim 22: Merjanian teaches fingerprint recognition.

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8,16,19, 22, 24, 25, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merjanian in view of Pu et al. or Hampton et al. 290 or Kuhns et al.

Merjanian discloses the invention substantially as claimed as set forth above. However, applicant has argued that Merjanian fails to disclose an "identification" system. However, Pu et al., in col. 9 line 43, col. 11, line 40, and Hampton et al. col. 8 line 15, and Kuhns et al, col. 1 (criminal history identification) all make clear use of the word "identification" to describe its system. It would be obvious to modify the system in Merjanian to include the "tokenless" identification systems of Pu et al., Hampton et al. and Kuhns et al. with that of Merjanian because the motivation for this would be to offer an increased level of reliability by identification rather than verification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

Art Unit: 3627

Joseph A. Fischetti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627

77